# **Stability Properties of Magnetized Spine-Sheath Relativistic Jets**

Philip Hardee<sup>1</sup> (UA), Yosuke Mizuno<sup>2</sup> (NSSTC/MSFC) & Ken-Ichi Nishikawa<sup>3</sup> (NSSTC/UAH)

**Introduction**: Relativistic jets in AGN and microquasars are likely accelerated and collimated by magnetic fields anchored in an accretion disk and/or threading the ergosphere around a rotating black hole. Recent GRMHD numerical results (Mizuno et al.) suggest that a jet spine driven by the magnetic fields threading the ergosphere may be surrounded by a broad jet sheath driven by the magnetic fields anchored in the accretion disk. This configuration might additionally be surrounded by a less highly collimated accretion disk wind from the hot corona. Results from other jet formation simulations suggest that the jet speed is related to the Alfvén wave speed in the acceleration and collimation region implying Alfvén wave speeds near to light speed.

Jet formation simulation results suggest that the theoretical analysis of stability properties and resulting jet structures requires keeping the displacement current in the RMHD equations to allow for strong magnetic fields and Alfvén wave speeds near light speed. Additionally, the recent GRMHD simulation results and existing observational results suggest that jets can have a spine-sheath structure. Thus, a theoretical investigation should allow for flow in a sheath surrounding the jet spine.

In this poster we report on basic theoretical results using the linearized RMHD equations including the displacement current and allowing for flow in a sheath around a faster flowing jet spine. We also report on RMHD numerical simulation results containing an axial magnetic field in both jet spine and sheath. This most stable magnetic configuration is absolutely stable to current driven (**CD**) modes but can be unstable to the surface driven Kelvin-Helmholtz (**KH**) modes.

Possibly the most important basic result is that destructive **KH** modes can be stabilized even when the jet Lorentz factor significantly exceeds the Alfvén Lorentz factor. Even in the absence of stabilization, spatial growth of destructive **KH** modes can be considerably reduced by the presence of marginally relativistic sheath flow ( $\sim 0.5$  c) around a relativistic jet spine (> 0.9 c).

This research supported by the National Science Foundation through awards to (1) The University of Alabama (UA) in Tuscaloosa and (3) the University of Alabama at Huntsville (UAH), and by the NASA Postdoctoral Program through an award to (2) the National Space Science & Technology Center (NSSTC) and Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) in Huntsville.

# **Relativistic Jets** va<sup>3</sup> (NSSTC/UAH)

# **GRMHD** Simulations of Jet Formation: Spine-Sheath Structure

# **Key Questions of Jet Formation**

- Acceleration to large Lorentz factors
- Collimation & Transverse Structure
- Variability & CD/KH induced structure

# **New GRMHD code RAISHIN**

(Mizuno, Nishikawa et al.)

- Multi-dimensional (1D, 2D, 3D) •Special & General relativity •Various coordinate systems • Various boundary conditions •Divergence free magnetic fields
- •Large Lorentz factors

# **GRMHD** Simulation Initial Conditions

- Geometrically thin accretion disk ( $\rho_{disk} / \rho_{corona} = 100$ )
- Free falling background corona (Bondi Solution)
- Global vertical magnetic field lines (Wald solution)

# **GRMHD** Simulation Results

Simulations were performed for a geometrically thin accretion disk near both non-rotating and rapidly rotating black holes. Similar to previous results (Koide et al. 2000, Nishikawa et al. 2005a) we find magnetically driven jets. It appears that a rapidly rotating black hole creates an inner, faster, and more collimated outflow within a broader jet outflow driven by the accretion disk.



- J x B and gas pressure forces form the jet(s)
- •A hollow jet is formed from twisted magnetic fields anchored in the disk.
- •An inner jet is formed from magnetic fields twisted in the BH ergosphere.

# Stability Properties of a 3D RMHD Relativistic Jet Spine & Sheath

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_{3}}{\gamma_{4}} \frac{f_{4}^{\prime}(\beta_{1}R)}{\beta_{4}(\beta_{1}R)} &= \frac{\beta_{4}}{\lambda_{4}} \frac{H_{4}^{\prime\prime\prime}(\beta_{6}R)}{H_{4}^{\prime\prime\prime}(\beta_{6}R)} \\ Relation: \\ J_{a} and H_{a}^{(1)} are Besel and Hankel functions and primes denote derivatives \\ \chi_{1} &= \gamma_{3}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}(W_{1}(\varpi_{2}^{2} - \kappa_{2}^{2}v_{4}^{2})) \quad \chi_{c} &= \gamma_{c}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}w_{K}(\varpi_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ \beta_{j}^{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}(\chi_{1}(\varpi_{2}^{2} - \kappa_{2}^{2}v_{4}^{2})) & \chi_{c} &= \gamma_{c}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}w_{K}(\varpi_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ (\alpha_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{3}^{2})(\varpi_{2}^{2} - \kappa_{2}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ \beta_{j}^{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}(\omega_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2})(\varpi_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ (\alpha_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{3}^{2})(\varpi_{2}^{2} - \kappa_{2}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ \beta_{j}^{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}(\omega_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2})(\varpi_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ (\alpha_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{3}^{2})(\varpi_{2}^{2} - \kappa_{2}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ \beta_{j}^{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1}^{2}\gamma_{4}^{2}(\omega_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2})(\varpi_{c}^{2} - \kappa_{c}^{2}v_{4}^{2}) \\ \gamma_{j,c}^{2} &= (1 - \omega_{j,c}^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} & \gamma_{3,c}^{2} &= (1 - \omega_{j,c}^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} \\ \alpha_{j,c} &= (1 - \omega_{j,c}^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} & \gamma_{s,j} &= (1 - \omega_{j,c}^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} & \gamma_{A,j} &= (1 - \omega_{j,c}^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} \\ \alpha_{w,j} &= (\alpha_{i}, v_{Aj}) \text{ and } v_{wc} &= (\alpha_{v}, v_{Ac}) \text{ in (fluid, magnetic) limits} \\ v_{w,j} &\approx w_{w}^{2} &= \frac{\gamma_{1}(\gamma_{ww}v_{ww}) + \gamma_{c}(\gamma_{ww}v_{ww})w_{c}}{\gamma_{1}(\gamma_{ww}v_{ww})/w_{c}} \\ \gamma_{w,j}^{2} &= (1 - w_{w,j}^{2}/c^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} \text{ for } v_{w,j} &= (2n + 1)\pi/4 + m\pi \\ \frac{1}{((1 - u_{e}/v_{w}^{2})^{2} - (v_{we}/v_{w}^{2})} &= (m_{w}v_{e}/c^{2})^{1/2} \\ \gamma_{m}^{2} &= \frac{2\pi}{(2n + 1)\pi/4 + m\pi} \left(\frac{\gamma_{w}}{(2}\right) \left[ (w_{w}^{2} - u_{w})^{2} - (v_{ww}/c^{2}) (w_{w}^{2})^{2} \right]^{1/2} R \end{aligned}$$

 $\frac{B_e^2}{W_e}$  $4\pi W_j W_e$ 

# Stability Properties of a 3D RMHD Relativistic Jet Spine & Sheath

# **Dispersion Relation Numerical Solution Effect of Sheath Flow on a Fluid Jet**



**M87 Jet: Spine-Sheath Configuration?** 

# VLA Radio Image



**Jet Spine ? Typical Proper Motions > c** Biretta, Sparks, & Macchetto 1999 **Optical** ~ inside radio emission

**Sound speeds:**  $a_i = a_e = 0.4 c$ **Jet speed:**  $u_i = 0.916$  c Surface mode: growth rates (dash-dotted lines) reduced as sheath speed increases from  $u_e = 0$  to 0.3 c. Resonance: disappears for sheath speed  $u_{e} > 0.35$  c Body mode: downwards arrows indicate damping peaks  $\omega R_i/u_i >> 1$ : damping for sheath speed  $u_e > 0.5$  c  $\omega R_i/u_i \ll 1$ : growth for sheath speed  $u_e > 0.5$  c

## **Jet Structures**



## **Jet Sheath ? Typical Proper Motions < c** Biretta, Zhou, & Owen 1995

## **HST Optical Image**



**Spine-Sheath interaction ? Optical & Radio twisted** filaments (green lines) & helical twist (red line) Lobanov, Hardee, & Eilek 2003

# **RMHD** Simulations of Spine-Sheath Jet Stability

# **Key Questions of Jet Stability**

- How do jets remain sufficiently stable?
- What are the Effects & Structure of CD/KH Instability?
- Can CD/KH Structures be linked to Jet Properties?

## **3D Rendering** with **B-field lines**



# **RMHD using RAISHIN**

•Special relativity

- •3D Cartesian coordinate system
- •Inflow & Outflow boundary conditions
- •Divergence free magnetic fields

## **Transverse cross section showing** large scale helical pressure structure



# **RMHD** Simulation Initial Conditions

- Cylindrical Jet established across the computational domain
- Jet Lorentz factor = 2.5,  $u_{iet} = 0.916 \text{ c}$ ,  $\rho_{iet} = 2 \rho_{external}$
- External flow outside the jet,  $u_{external} = 0$ , c/2
- Jet precessed to break the symmetry
- RHD:  $a_{jet} = 0.511 \text{ c}$ ,  $a_{external} = 0.574 \text{ c}$ ,  $v_{Alfven(j,e)} < 0.07 \text{ c}$

• RMHD: 
$$v_{Aj} = 0.45 \text{ c}$$
,  $v_{Ae} = 0.56 \text{ c}$ ,  $a_j = 0.23 \text{ c}$ ,  $a_e = 0.30 \text{ c}$ 



# **Helically Twisted Pressure & Magnetic Structure**

### Longitudinal cross section showing small scale helical pressure structure

# **3D RHD Sheathed Jet Theory & Simulation Results**



• A sheath with  $v_w = c/2$  (right panels) significantly reduces the growth rate (red dash-dot) of the surface mode at simulation frequency  $\omega 2$ , and slightly increases the wavelength.

• Growth associated with the 1<sup>st</sup> helical body mode (green dashdot) is almost eliminated by sheath flow.

•The moving sheath reduces the growth rate and slightly increases the wave speed and wavelength as predicted.

• Substructure associated with the 1<sup>st</sup> helical body mode is eliminated by sheath flow as predicted.

# **3D RMHD Sheathed Jet Simulation & Theory**





Panels show reduced growth relative to the fluid case for the magnetized sheath and damping in the presence of magnetized sheath flow.

Magnetized sheath flow has reduced the "velocity shear" to less than the "surface" Alfvén speed:

$$\gamma_j^2 \gamma_e^2 \left( u_j - u_e \right)$$

Note that for comparable conditions in spine and sheath  $V_{As}$ = 2  $\gamma_{A}$  (B<sup>2</sup>/4 $\pi$ W)<sup>1/2</sup> and (B<sup>2</sup>/4 $\pi$ W)<sup>1/2</sup> can be >> c.

# **Major Results**

Growth of the KH instability driven by jet spine-sheath interaction is reduced significantly by mildly relativistic sheath flow and can be stabilized by magnetized sheath flow for spine Lorentz factors,  $\gamma_i$ , considerably larger than the Alfvén wave speed Lorentz factor,  $\gamma_A$ .

This result for axial magnetic field remains valid in the presence of an additional toroidal component. The crucial comparison is between the magnitude of the velocity shear and magnitude of the appropriate Alfvén speed projected on the wave vector, k (e.g., Hardee et al. 1992).

### References

Biretta, J.A., Sparks, W.B., & Macchetto, F. 1999, ApJ, 520, 621 Biretta, J.A., Zhou, F., & Owen, F.N. 1995, ApJ, 447, 582 Hardee, P.E., Cooper, M.A., Norman, M.L., & Stone, J.M. 1992, ApJ, 399, 478 Lobanov, A., Hardee, P., & Eilek, J. 2003, NewAR, 47, 505

# $)^2 < V_{A_s}^2$