Shock acceleration models – personal review





Michał Ostrowski

Astronomical Observatory Jagiellonian University

Particle acceleration in the interstellar MHD medium

Inhomogeneities of the magnetized plasma flow lead to energy changes of energetic charged particles due to electric fields





Shock transition layer internal structure

compression and thermalization of the ambient plasma

Microscopic approach required: usually Particle-In-Cell simulations for shocks propagating in -magnetized (e⁻, e⁺) plasmas -magnetized (e, p) or (e, ion) plasmas

e.g. papers by Hoshino et al. 1992, Nishikava et al. 2003, Frederiksen at el.2004, Spitkovsky 2006

The **3D simulations** are still unable to study long time behaviour of individual particles to be able to analyse the injection process to the Fermi acceleration of high energy particles.

They describe nicely formation of relativistic Maxwellians for (e^{-}, e^{+}) plasmas or ions in (e, ion) plasmas, plus the electron acceleration processes in the energy range ($\Gamma m_e c^2$, $\Gamma m_{ion} c^2$). Also substantial insight into formation of intermittent small-scale magnetic field structures and related currents was achieved.

It is still a substantial step to be done in order to follow with the microscopic physics approach the CR particle energy evolution between these "thermal", $\Gamma m c^{2}$, and the CR scales >> $\Gamma m c^{2}$

a talk by Anatoly Spitkovsky)

I order Fermi acceleration

 \mathbf{u}_1



 $>> \Gamma m c^2$

CR particle trajectory

shock layer of plasma compression

Acceleration at non-relativistic (NR) shock waves



Cosmic rays with $v \gg u_1$ are nearly **ISOTROPIC** at the shock. This fact and particle **diffusive** propagation are the main factors responsible for relative **independence** of the accelerated particle spectrum on the background conditions.

In the **test particle** approach

$$n p \propto p^{-\sigma}$$
 where $\sigma = \frac{R+2}{R-1}$

and the only parameter defining the spectral index is the shock compression $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{u}_1/\mathbf{u}_2$. Below we use often $\Box \Box$

index "1" – upstream, "2" – downstream of the shock

NR shock:

Spectral index does not depend on, e.g.,

- turbulence character (with $V_A \ll u_1$)*
- mean value and inclination of B (if $u_B \ll v$)
- shock velocity (for M>>1)
- if only boundary conditions are not important in the considered energy range and nonlinear effects or other acceleration processes are negligible.

* II order Fermi can be important for $V_A > 0.1 u_1$

Relativistic shock acceleration:

Particle velocity: $V \sim U_{shock}$ Particle anisotropy in the shock: $\Box \Box \sim \gamma^1$ shock Lorentz factor

Significant influence of the background conditions

at the resulting particle spectrum:

- the mean magnetic field
- MHD turbulence
- the shock Lorentz factor



History of the I order Fermi acceleration studies

Peacock 1981 -- simple angular form for the distribution function

Kirk & Schneider 1987 -- Fokker-Planck equation, parallel shocks $(\Box_1 = 0)$

Kirk & Heavens 1989 -- FP equation oblique shocks $(\Box_1 \Box_1 \Box_2 O)$

Begelman & Kirk 1990 -- acceleration at superluminal shocks

since 1991 (Ostrowski, Ellison et al., Takahara et al., Heavens et al., et al.) -- numerical simulations allow for studies of **B** ~ **B**

since 1998 (Bednarz & Ostrowski, Gallant & Achterberg, Kirk et al., et al.) -- ultrarelativistic shock waves $\gamma >> 1$

All these studies were limited to the test particle approximation and apply simplified models for turbulent MHD medium near the shock Niemiec & O. 2004, 2006, & Pohl 2006 – slightly more realistic field structure Let us consider



with, say, $u \sim 0.3 - 0.9 c$

or the shock Lorentz factors γ in the range 1.05 - 2.3

the Fokker-Planck approach of Kirk & Schneider for stationary acceleration at a parallel shock



Solution:

- 2. general solutions are obtained upstream and downstream of the shock by solving the eigenvalue problem
- 2. by matching the two solutions at the shock, the spectral index and anisotropic distribution is found by taking into account a sufficient number of eigenfunctions

At oblique subluminal shocks the same procedure works, but one has to assume $p_{0}^{2}/B = const \qquad \Box B << B_{o}$

for particle interactions with the shock

Even a slight inclination of the mean magnetic field leads to substantial (qualitative) changes in the acceleration process particle density jump at the shock (Kirk & Heavens 1989)





Begelman & Kirk 1990

For **B** ~ **B** numerical modelling often **Monte Carlo** simulations



"Summary" of results for mildly relativistic shocks



Ultra-relativistic shock waves

$\gamma_{\rm I}>>$

superluminal (perpendicular) shocks, $u_{B,1} > c$



The same value of **1** » 2.2 was derived for ultrarelativistic shocks by Gallant, Achterberg, Kirk, Guthmann, Vietri, Pelletier, Lemoine, et al. (1999 – 2006)

Does there exist an universal spectral index for relativistic shocks ?

O&Bednarz 2002:

The opinion saying that spectra of particles accelerated at relativistic shocks are the power-laws (+ a cut off) with the spectral index close to 2.2 was (and it is still) prevailing in the astrophysical literature.

This erroneous opinion comes from misinterpretation of the papers discussing the Fermi I acceleration at relativistic shock waves, which effectively consider parallel shocks, while the real ones are perpendicular.

Thus, what spectra are expected to be generated at relativistic shocks?

A role of realistic background conditions in CR acceleration at relativistic and ultra-relativistic shocks we attempted to consider in a series of papers: Niemiec & O. (ApJ: 2004, 2006, & Pohl 2006).

→ a talk of Niemiec

In the Monte Carlo simulations: -shock Lorentz factors between 2 and 30 -different inclinations of B_{0} -different spectra of the background long wave **MHD (static – no Fermi II accel.) turbulence** -possibility of generation of highly nonlinear *turbulence at the shock (like in PIC simulations)* The obtained results **do not** reproduce the often claimed universal $\sigma \approx 2.2$ power-law.

They show:

-no power-law spectra

-cut-off within the considered range of energies

-wide variety of spectral indices

Mildly relativistic shocks

oblique *subluminal* shock:

 $\delta B^2 = \int_{k_{\min}}^{k_{\max}} F(k) dk$





Parallel shock

 $\gamma_1 = 10$

 $\gamma_1 = 30$



Ultrarelativistic shock waves with "shock generated" downstream short-wave turbulence



Some proposals of

non-standard or non-Fermi

relativistic shock acceleration processes

"Microscoping" studies of relativistic shock structure

For example:

 Hoshino et al., 1992, "Relativistic magnetosonic shock waves in synchrotron sources - Shock structure and nonthermal acceleration of positrons", ApJ, 390, 454

PIC 1D modelling of the perpendicular wind terminal shock in Crab

• Pohl at al., 2002, "Channeled blast wave behavior based on longitudinal instabilities", A&A, 383, 309

Analytic modelling of macroscopic instabilities and wave generation

• Medvedev & Loeb, 1999, "Generation of Magnetic Fields in the Relativistic Shock of Gamma-Ray Burst Sources", ApJ, 526, 697 *Instability in the shocked magnetized plasma for generation of short wave magnetic field perturbations* Derishev et al., 2003, "Particle Acceleration through Multiple Conversions from Charged into Neutral State and Back", Phys.Rev. D 68, 043003

Boris Stern, 2003, "Electromagnetic Catastrophe in Ultrarelativistic Shocks and the Prompt Emission of Gamma-Ray Bursts", MNRAS 345, 590

Pisin Chen et al., 2002, "Plasma Wakefield Acceleration for Ultrahigh Energy Cosmic Rays", Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 1101 and others *Interaction of a relativistic particle beam with plasma*

Ucer & Shapiro 2001, "Unlimited Relativistic Shock Surfing Acceleration", PRL 87 and others

Acceleration at perpendicular shock wave with strong electric potential drop



Recently: Stern & Poutanen astro-ph/0604344 Poster 39

A photon breeding mechanism for the high-energy emission of relativistic jets claim, that such mechanism can effectively work at the jet side boundary for $\Gamma >> 1$, leading to unstable photon production.



Numerical study shows that the process can become unstable by draining energy of the jet bulk flow. However possible constraints/limitations for its action are still unclear for me.

Conclusions

- theory of cosmic ray acceleration at relativistic shocks
 is not sufficiently developed to enable realistic modelling
 of astrophysical sources, at most qualitatively
- wide range of the studied physical conditions at relativistic shocks **do not allow** for generation of the accelerated particle spectra which are wide range power-laws and/with the universal spectral index $\sigma \approx 2.2$
- cosmic ray spectra generated at ultrarelativistic shock waves are not expected to extend to very high energies. Thus, postulating such shocks to be sources of UHE CR particles is doubtful

A few more remarks

- observational results and numerical simulations still play an essential role in developing the theory of relativistic shock acceleration
- in my opinion the full picture requires consideration of the second order Fermi acceleration acting in the relativistic MHD turbulence near (downstream of) the shock
- PIC simulations are unable to study higher CR energies
- -interesting non-standard proposals by Derishev et al., Stern,& Poutanen should be critically verified





Figure 5. The division of particle pitch angles for upstream particles in oblique shocks based on the assumption of the particle magnetic moment conservation. For $\mu < -U_{B,1}$ (region E) most reflected particles escape upstream from the shock, for higher values of μ the particles approach the shock and can be reflected (region R) or transmitted downstream (region T). The plot is given for a shock wave with the velocity $u_1 = 0.3$ and R = 5.28. An auxiliary dashed line is for $U_{B,1} = 0.72$.

Warning: the large angle scattering model applied sometimes for description of CR acceleration at relativistic shocks is unphysical

In the upstream plasma rest frame:



Cosmic ray density across an oblique subluminal shock



Short wave turbulence perturbs particle trajectory (pitch angle) $\Delta \Omega_{sh} \propto E^{-1/2}$ in a time interval given in the simulations as $\Delta t \propto E$, while the regular and long wave B-components in such time interval lead to $\Delta \Omega_{reg} \approx const.$

Thus the role of short wave turbulence in perturbing particle trajectories decreases with growing particle energy.



